Previous references.

1894-5

~~191~~

(Sep. Rev)

File No. 27-94

Serial Nos. 1-27.

Later references.

S R 142-03
Sls 1-3.5.6 B
Sls 2 & 6 – 11 mrs } for mayo 4.
Sls 12. 13. B.
S.R. 3 of 6 Sls 102. B. Oct. 16.

50

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-27.

Major ~~Part~~ B. for Feb 03.

SUBJECT

Claim of Kaigattigutta dar of muddenerdakere to the Excise Revenue from Toddy

Indexed. [illegible]

1 From Krishna Rao of muddagiri.
Petn No 534 of 14-8-94.
Appeals against the Dy Commrs orders re the toddy Revn.

2. To The Dy Commr. Tumkur Dist. pet No 1312 of 20.3.95
Forwards the above petition and requests the D.C to call upon the petitioners to produce the additional evidence

3. From The Dy Commr. Tumkur Dist Dy No 12806. L No 818
Reports on the petition of Krishna Rao as called for. of 30.3.95

4. To The Dy Commr. Tumkur. No 16752
States that the petr. may be given pet 1378 of 9.4.95
a final chance for producing any further
evidence relied on by him.

Concerned Asst Commissioner

OPB—4,000-4-11

5 From The Dy Commr Tumkur. Dy No 3696/Ex199 L No 4128 dt 5-11-95
States that the result of the 2nd enquiry held re-the claim of Krishna Rao is intimated to the Excise Commr. in mysore.

6 To the Excise Commissioner No 4966 dt 3-12-95
Requests that the result of the 2nd enquiry re-the claim of Krishna Rao may be forwarded to this office at an early date

7. From The Excise Commr. Dy No 4566/Ex250 L No 2497/233 dt 10-12-95
Submits the record of enquiry as called for in the above ref

8. From Krishna Rao petn No 1819 dt 18-11-95.
Reminder to his previous petition, praying that orders may be issued to the Dy Commr for refunding the amount.

9. To The Excise Commr No 52561/2/Ex169 dt 6-12-95
Forwards the above petition for early disposal

10. From The Excise Commr. Dy No 4649/Ex259 L No 2563/243
dt 11-12-95.
Returns the petition of Krishna Rao and invites attention to that office no 2491 in ref 7. above.

11. From The Excise Commr Dy No Ex347/6456 L No 3378
Submits copy of the Sanad Ex347/337 dt 28.2.96.
States that Krishna Rao is entitled and other papers and from Toddy under the Sanad. & Excise Revenue

12. From The petr Krishna Rao. Petn No 2531/Ex378 dt 11-4-98.
Reminds of his previous petition

13 To The Ex. Commr. No 10310/Ex413 dt 27.4.98.
Forwards the above for report

14. From the petr Krishna Rao petn No 291/Ex64 dt 30.7.98
Reminds of his previous petn

15 To the Ex. Commr. No 1506/Ex71 dt 25.8.98
Forwards the above for report.

16 To. The petr. Krishna Rao. No 1507 dt 25.8.98.
Informs that his petition has been forwarded to the Ex. Commr for report

17. From The Excise Commr Dy No 1566/Ex89 L No 1584/79
Dated 26.8.98
Submits copy of cowpee from the Deputy Commr and States that the Kayamgutta dar is not entitled to the balance of Rs 752 3/4 refd to by him

SECTION R&R

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

FILE No. 97-94 (REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

SERIAL No.

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. The claim of the Kayemgutte-dar of Muddenahalli village in the Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District to the Excise revenue from toddy

Excise Commr no 3506 of 96-11-42

December 1902

Note. In support of the claim the Kayemgutteedar has produced certified copies of Huttuvali (Adhaar Sey) Chittas of the village for the years 1814, 1816, 1819, 1823 & 1829 which show that the Shendi Kattige amount was included in the assets of the village & the Kayemgutta amount is said to have been fixed with reference to its total assets when it was alienated in 1829 (vide Coley of the Cowle proceed). The Inamee Deptt has also recognised the Inamdar's right to the toddy revenue & has given him an endorsement

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

no 146 dy 8/6/95 wherein it is stated "ಕಳಿದ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಕಣಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಕಳ್ಳು ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಯುವ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ" The Deputy Commissioner, Kumta dist. has likewise recognised the claim in his memo* dated 17th August 1895 wherein making a reference to the Asst Superintendent's letter no 166 dy 10th June 1895 asking that the Kayguttu village in question might be included in the statement of Inam villages the holders of which have been declared to be entitled to toddy revenue. In the subsequent enquiry also held in accordance with the C.C. no 2074-85 dy 2/8/01, the Inamdar's title to the toddy rev. has been established.

The Excise Comr concurs with the settlement Offr in the Asstt Comr's Parawanai dy 2 the dy Comr states that the Inamdar is entitled to the Excise rev. from toddy under the general items from 1892/93 subject to rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12

* Only copy/procurable of the Inamdar

* Orig not for the moment in the record but a copy kept by the Inamdar

of the Govt. order of the 2nd August 1901.

In the memo of Calculation of the amount payable to the Inamdar from 1892-93 to the end of 1901-02, the establishment charges of 6% to be deducted is calculated on the net amount realized i.e after deducting 1/4 share due to Govt under rule 3. Though the rule is not clear as to whether the establishment charge is to be calculated upon the gross or net realization, what has been done by the Collector in the present case seems reasonable -

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Commissioner for orders

31/12/02

true

31/12/02

The claim has been established. The mode of calculation adopted seems correct - Pass order accordingly & submit to the Dewan - 23/1/03.

14-1-03
Calcutta.

Subd to the Dewan

22/1/03

RD
29.1.03
8058.

9 agree
9797
6.11.03

4/2/03

Rec'd 9.2.03.

Draft sealed for absence

9.2.03 Draft issued & file sealed
to the 2nd Councillor for signature

Ex 48
16-2-03.

seen and returned with thanks - 16/2/03

17/2/03

The Inam has a toddy shop & Govt. rent is settled u/s case Revenue. Hence this case falls under Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12 of Govt. Rev. No 2074-83 / R-7-166-92 dated 2/8/01.

m_1 = ✓ Rs 6 allowed towards Govt rent for 95-96. Govt no date/year.

m_2 = Correct no of hrs taken for Methi bet 92-93 & 96-97 meanwhile 97-98 not known. ✓ average how much was taken subsequent 96-97 taken — can this be right :-

m_3 = How many hrs taken between Govt & Inamdars not differentiated ! How to credit such sums.

m_4 Under Receipts, S- Rule 12 :- hrs tax must be to Govt. So 16-12 + 1-0-9 ought not to be deducted :

m_5 : 6 per cent Est charges calculated on Govt - incorrect. amt (i.e after deducting cess x) it must be calculated - see rule.

Note: Rules for 97-98: ~~examples~~

Merchant Tailors Hall:

(~~Current rules~~)

Once Fall Have waived the right

to have Rules revised more

Rules 3 and 6 in Schedule

Done

Mysore Record
Genl & Rev Secretariat

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note. 7

Branch Excise

Subject Petn. from the Inamdar of Muddanahalli for the payt of the Loddy fee.

Here were 2 Petns from the above Inamdar praying for the early payt of the Loddy fee due to him for past yrs. No action was taken upon these awaiting the result of the bill for Rs 1254-10-0 passed from the Exp. Comee on this account. The file is before the Secy & the meanwhile there is another petn today from the Inamdar asking for very early orders for payt & stating that he is put to much trouble as his creditors have filed a suit against him. Submitted for orders

Bgre 15/8/03

Mde an endorsement that the question of the payment of the Loddy fee is under consideration

16/8

Note Contd

An endorsement was accordingly issued.

The file was called for and it was noted to the Accountant on the 19th inst.

There has been another petn from the Accountant for the early payt of the amount due to him. He states that his creditors have filed suits & that his village is likely to be attached

Noted.

Tahsildar 21/8/03

Note Contd.

Subsequently a couple of more petitions from the self same Krishna Rau Jamindar, was presented to Govt, but were not replied to seeing that a reply from the Excise Commr was still pending in respect of the observations referred to him in this office No. 175 Dy. 27.8.03. Ex 7 11-01

In the meantime, a memorial addressed to H.H. has been transferred to this office by the Private Secretary as also another reminder Petition. In all there six petitions

9

Ptc and the same for purpose of perusing disposal. Subd with a draft reminder to the Ex. Comr.

11.7/11/03.

The draft was approved by the Secretary.

Note continued

Another petition from Chandra Kishnabha, (Regd. No 2387 & 18/4/04) has been received, requesting the early payment of the Specie Rs. from Tasgaon Treasury.

The refund bill submitted by the Specie Commr. in this connection was returned to him for revision & resubmission, with this office No 175/4.7.11-01 & 27/8/03. Nothing further has as yet been received.

Upto date 6 reminders have issued from here, the last being dated the 3rd March 1904. Reminder No 7 is now submitted for approval.

M.
26.4.04

SECTION SR

FILE No. 27-94

SERIAL No.

Genl. Serial No. 32.]

1894

No. 534

Name of Petitioner. Krishna Rao, son of Bhagavanta Rao, Kayamgutta dar

Village. Hinddanurlakkere

Taluk. Maddagiri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 13-8-94

Date of Receipt. 16-8-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one

by Peons to Shrikantha Murthi, Bangalore City

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 19-7-94 re the Toddy Renewal of the above Kayamgutta village

Submitted to the Secretary as directed by the Judicial Commissioner

Sd/ 19

Sd/ 24/10

Sd/ 1/3

Sd/ [illegible]

Sd/ [illegible]

IN THE COURT OF ~~THE~~ His Excellency The Dewan

OF Mysore council

Misc CASE NO OF 189 4-5

Petitioner
Krishna Rao } vs {

I the Petitioner above named do by these presents retain
1 Mr C. Lakshapathi Iyengar Avl, Law to appear for and conduct
the above case, on my behalf to Judgment.

2 I further empower him to execute any decree or order that
may be passed in my behalf.

I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts
done by the said Advocate in so doing

Dated 13th Aug 1894

Accepted.
C Lakshapathi Iyengar
Bar-at. Law Adv
Counsel for Petr

Signed before me &
personally known to me
C Lakshapathi Iyengar
Bar-at-Law & Adv
Counsel for Petr.

Lutchmi Narasimha press Mysore,



His Excellency

The Dewan of Mysore

in Council



The Appeal of Krishna Rao son of Bhagavantha Rao, Kayamgutta-dar of the Village Muddanerla Kere in the Taluq of Madagiri, Tumkur District.

Respectfully Sheweth

That your Petitioner was by a notice dated 11th June 1894 directed to appear before the Deputy Commissioner with any original Sanads, Neroopa, Shasana, Muchalikas, Receipts Karars and other documents he may have with reference to the todday Revenue of his the said Kayamgutta Village.

2. On the 19th ultimo he accordingly

appeared with such papers as he could lay his hands on, and the Deputy Commissioner without giving him an opportunity of producing all his papers and without examining any witnesses passed his decission Vide memo, copy of which is herewith Submitted.

3. Your Excellency will notice that the Deputy Commissioner himself admits that Petitioner and his predecessors had enjoyed the tody Revenue of the Village ever since 1831-32 upto 1882-83 and States that the evidence produced for the period between 1851-52 & 1882-83 were "Huttoovalee Chittas."

4. Your Petitioner has been a resident of Toorukoor where he is in Government Service as Taluq Revenue Gumasta. His father who was over 70 Years old was in the Village looking after it and mana ing. He died at the

end of last year leaving all the papers in confusion and your Petitioner has not found time proper to examine and arrange them. Your Petitioner represented these circumstances to the Deputy Commissioner and prayed to be allowed time to do so but this, it had pleased him to refuse, and to dispose of the matter merely on what was before him.

5. Petitioner was and is in a position to produce any amount of evidence both documentary and oral as to the continued enjoyment of the Revenue by his father and Predecessors up to 1892-93, when Government undertook to sell the farm by Public Sale for and on behalf of the Kayamkuttadars.

6. Your Petitioner's Predecessors have had continuous and uninterrupted enjoyment of

the prevelege for a period of 62 years.

7. It is indeed hard upon the Kayangattadars to be called upon to prove their title after Government entertained upon possession on the understanding that it was done so on behalf of the Kayangattadars

8. However this may be. Your Petitioner hereby submits that it has always been ruled by Government in such cases that long and continued possession for a period over 30 years will not be disturbed. Even in the days of the Commission this was the rule and in support of this Your Petitioner refers to the Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner No. 363 of 20th March 1877 as regards the Jodi Village of Shwanagere in the Mudagan vanny

9. Recently the same Deputy Commissioner went on the same principles as regards the Body

Revenue of Jodr Advce Nayenhalli Shivampere and Jodr Garu; but as to why he has differently dealt with the Petitioner, he respectfully submits he is unable to understand. In common ~~frame~~ fairness Your Petitioner ought to have been allowed time to produce all his other documentary and oral evidence before coming to a decision on a matter so highly important to Petitioner and his successors for ever

10. If Your Excellency in Council should deem that such evidence as has been already produced be insufficient to decide in Petitioners favor, Petitioner prays that the Deputy Commissioner may be directed to take such further evidence as may be forthcoming and submit the same to Your Excellency

11. Petitioner in conclusion further submits that the ~~ques~~

of proof really rested on Government to show that there was any adverse enjoyment or interruption, whereas Government records not only do not show that, but clearly go to prove that Government never enjoyed the right since 1831-32. i.e for 60 years back.

Petitioner prays that the Deputy Commissioners order may be set aside and justice done to Petitioner for which Petitioner shall ever pray.

Bungalow
13th August 1894

Chittaranjan Das
Bar-at-Law & Advocate
Counsel for the Petr.

(5) R. 006
26/7/94
Collector

Memo

1 The holder of the Kayamgutta village of Inddanelakere in the Inaddagiri Taluk lays claim to the toddy revenue of that village. Enquiry was held to day as to whether such a claim is admissible.

2 There is a toddy shop in the village. A date grove is stated to have also once existed in it. A fresh one is, however, being reared up by the Inamdar.

3 As stated in a Sirpa of the 16th March 1830 from Fowzdar Sunnappa Rajah to Amildar Venkatakrishnaya of Inaddagiri, this village was granted on Kayam gutta tenure to one Krishnaiya (grand father of the present holder) by His Highness the late Maha-raja in the year Virodhi (1829).

4 In the above Sirpa, which is, however, the only document produced by the Inamdar as referring to the alienation the items of revenue conceded

to the grantee are not specified, nor is any provision made in it expressly authorizing the collection of toddy revenue.

5. The Inamdar has deposed that this item of revenue was for sometime in the enjoyment of his family from the date of the grant and in proof of it produced certain original Imchalikas and accounts.

6 These Imchalikas refer to the years 1830-31, 1831-32, 1832-33, 1833-34, and 1847-48. They are all agreements executed by Edigers to have the lease of the Shandee Dukan of the village for an annual rental ranging from 1 to 17 Pagodas. The Imchalikas of 1833-34 and 1847-48 respectively cover a period of 5 and 4 years so that the last one extended to the year 1851-52.

7 The accounts referred to above are Huttavallee Chittas or statements giving the assets of the village for the years 1831-32, 1832-33, 1833-34, 1836-37, 1847-48 1852-53, 1857-58, 1872-73 and 1882-83. In these

accounts entries of credit are made for the receipts of the Shandle Durkai which is varied from 1 to 17 Pagodas as stated in para 6.

8 But with the exception of the Muttavan Chittas for the four years of 1852-53, 1857-58, 1872-73, and 1882-83 no other evidence was produced in proof of the enjoyment of the right subsequent to 1851-52. The Inamdar stated that the documents of that period were mislaid.

9 The Inam Department has not recognized the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of the village

10 The Inamdar is thus not entitled to this item of revenue either under the original Sunnad or under the Inam settlement

11 The right of selling toddy in the village vests therefore in Government

12 This right was sold by Government in the past two years respectively for Rupees 625-8-9 and Rupees 602-7-

inclusure of local cers.

"Signed" B. K. Venkatavarada Ayya

Deputy Commissioner

19-7-94

'True Copy'

Compared Govinda Rao

Copy applied on 3.8.94
Ready for delivery do
Delivered on —— do
No: of words 520
Copying fee 0—9—0
Comparing fee 0—2—0
0—11—0

R. Rangaswamiengar
Revenue Sheristadar

Pet ✓
1342

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

SECTION SR

FILE No. 21-94

SUB-No 2

The accompanying Petition No. 534
dated 14 8 94 received at the
Dewan's Office from Wms Lma Row
son of Bhāgavanta Row
Kavengattadar of
Mudanerlakere, Mad
Taluk, appealing agt. R
Dy. Comm's orders
regarding his right to
Vermicage
is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Dy. Commr.
Tumkur Dt.

2. The Enquiry held
by the Dy. Commr.
does not seem to be
complete. He is re
-quested to call upo
the petitioner to produ
the additional evid
relied on by him. T
Dy. Commr should rec
the evidence and his fin
thereon and submit t
same through Returns
Commr. for final decis
of Govt. Vide W.P.No: No: 443/R5
dated 11th July 93.
(3) The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

By Order,

Draft.

16/3/95

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE.
189

SR 16/3

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000.

Reader

16/3

Record
20/3/95

(3)

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1895



Submitted to 3rd Commissioner
22/2/4

SECTION 3R
FILE No. 27-94
SERIAL No. 8

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

Dated 29th March 1895
Despatched 30th —do—
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 818.
No.

His offl: Memo No.
Pet 1312 of the 14th March 1895
— a —

Reporting on the petition of Krishna Rão son of Bhagavanta Rão Kayungutta dar of Muddanerlakere village in the Maddagiri Taluk.

Pet. March 1-4-95

No: 8518
Enc: 1

Tumkúr District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkúr 29th March 1895

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No Pet 1312 of the 14th March 1895 forwarding for further enquiry the claim of Krishnaiah to the toddy revenue of the Kayem-gutta village of Muddenerlakere in the Maddagiri Taluk, I beg to state as follows:-

2 The petitioner says that although he said that he wanted time to produce documents in support of his claim still I disposed of the matter without giving the adjournment wanted. This is not true. Notice of the enquiry to be held was served on him and in accordance with it he appeared and produced

certain documents and gave a written statement. Thereupon the matter was disposed of. As the ground put forth by him for further enquiry viz/ that he was not given full opportunity to produce all his evidence is not true, I have ventured to make this reference. If upon this, the Government are pleased to give him another opportunity of producing further evidence in support of his claim, I will re hear the matter on being directed to do so.

3. The Enclosures of the official memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Under the circumstances the D. Com. may well give him a final chance for producing any further evidence which he has

4/4/55

Drafted
JWR
4/4

Progs of the Govt. of Mysore

SECTION SR (4)
FILE No. SR 27-94
SERIAL No. 4

Read.

Letter No: 818 dated 29th March 1895 from the Dy Commr Tumkur Reptd reporting that the statement of the petitioner Kris Imatha Kayempettadar of Mudda nerlakere, Madrasie Taluk, that he was not given full opportunity to produce all his evidence is not true and that if he would be heard the case should the Govt. so direct him.

Order thereon:- No. 16752 Pet: 1378 9/4/95

Under the circumstances the Dy. Commr. may well give the Petitioner a final chance for producing any further evidence relied on by him.

5/4/95
Actg: Chief Secy.

To
The Dy. Commr.
Tumkur

3rd Cinder
SLR 4/4 10/5/95

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. _____

No.

18

(1)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3696
Ex. No. 159
7 NOV. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Gen. 31.]

SECTION 8R.

FILE No. 27-94

SERIAL No. 5

1895

From The Deputy Commr.
Tumkur District,

To The Revenue Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 5th
Despatched 5th } November 1895
Received

General No. 412
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Remind 16
Ref No 312 dt 16-3-95

His docket No 803
of the 24th ultimo.

—"—

Stating that the result
of the 2nd enquiry held re-
garding the claim of
Krishna Row, Kayengutta-
dar of Muddanuralikéé
in the Maddagiri Talúk
to the Toddy revenue of
that Village was inti-
mated to the Excise Com-
missioner.

Excise
2c
7/11/95
7/11/95 Rep

No. 412.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District.
Dated 5th November 1895.

To,
The Revenue Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 833 of the 24th ultimo requesting an early reply to your No. Cot 1312 of the 16th March 1895 regarding the claim of Krishna Row Karyamputtadar of Muddanarlakeré in the Maddagiri Taluk to the Toddy revenue of that village, I have the honor to state that the result of the 2nd enquiry held by me on the subject of his claim was intimated direct to

the

2-1-
1.

the Excise Commissioner in the
Lens office docket No 336 of the 17th
August 1895 as directed in the
second of the dockets quoted above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

for CEC: Deputy Commr

[illegible]

(8)

2!

SECTION SR.
FILE No. 22-94
SERIAL No. 6

From

To The Excise Comr.

Dated 27-11-95
Despatched 3-12
Received
General No. 4966
Sr No. 154

Enclosure No.

Adverting to the Assistant Deputy Comrs letter No: 412 dt/5th 11/95 to Govt: ~~says~~ intimating that the record of the 2nd enquiry into the claim for Poddy Revenue preferred by Krishna Rau, Karyamuttadar of Anuddaverlake Madrigiri Taluk has been sent to the Excise Comr with his letter No: 336 dt/17th Aug/95, has the honour to request ~~that the Deputy Comrs letter~~ the latter may be forwarded on at an early date, accompanied by the statements required in Govt Procs No: 2443-51 R69 dt/11/7/93 together with the complete file connected with the Inam case.

By Order

27/11/95

Revenue Secretary

22/11/95

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 2497 / 233 (Enc 2)

Bangalore, dated 9th December 1895. / 12

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 4966 / Ex 154 dated 27th November 1895, I have the honor to submit herewith, the record of the 2d enquiry held by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by Kristna Row, Kayem-guttadar of Muddanerlakere, Maddigiri taluk. The submission of this record to Government had been deferred pending final orders which the Dewan promised to issue on the general questions regarding all alienated villages claiming Excise Revenue from Toddy. The item of Toddy Revenue referred to in the documents mentioned by the Deputy Commissioner might have been the rent of the date trees utilized in the village

village for extracting Toddy. It appears desirable that a copy of the original Sunnad should be obtained for reference. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to furnish it.

I have the honorable,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
J. Ricketts,
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECTION Rev 3

FILE No. 27-96

SERIAL No. 8 No. 1819

1895 (9)

Name of Petitioner Krishna Rao son of Bhagavanta

Village Revenue Gumasta

Taluk, Tumkur Taluk

District, Tumkur

Date of Arzi, 12-11-95

Date of Receipt, 18-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, one.

ABSTRACT.

Remainder to his petition 8/30-9-95.

States that upon his appeal to Govt against the Tumkur Distt Dy Comrs order depriving the Petr of the Toddy Revenue of the Kayangutta village of Muddi Neralakere, Maddagiri taluk, Tumkur District, it was decided by Govt that the right to the outstanding dues belonged to the Petr and that it should be refunded to him: and that though he subsequently appli

Ref- 25/11

Sah 29/11

Referred were sent to Excise Branch on 13-11-95 for Rs 412-2 5-11-95 from D C Tumkur

29/11. Excise Branch

29/11 Submitted -

3/12/95 - The file was submitted to the Revenue Secretary on 22/11/95 - with draft

3/12/95 Sd/- S R.

Kindly send the required papers in the matter.

4/12/95

applied to the Excise Comrs
~~and this office~~ for the refunding
of the amount; it has not
been done up to date

Petr also says that his petition
of 30-9-95, addressed to
this office was not replied
to.

Prays that orders may
be issued to the Dy Comrs
for refunding the amount
due to him.

K.M.G.
27/11.

SECTION ~C~
FILE No. 27—92
SER'AL No. 9
From

(10)

To The Revenue Commr
In Mysore

Dated 6/12/95—
Despatched 4
Received

General No. 5-25-6/2
No. Su. 169.

Enclosure No. 1

This Office Dt. No. 4966 & 15
& 27th Ultimo calling
for reports records &c &c
the claim for Inam by Smt.
Sulgerady Christina &c.
Kaveriappattada of Muddanda
cable Kiu Maddiguri Taluq

In pot Acknowledging
receipt of the above has the
honor to forward and
petition Orisa Rice
Same for early disposal

S. G.
6/12/95

Revenue

S. G.
5/12/95

Exise.]

(12)

1895

SECTION 6C

FILE No. 27--95

SER'AL. No. 10

From





To

(Revenue)

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

~~Dept~~

Dated 11th December 1895

Despatched 14

Received

General No. 2543

No. 243

Enclosure No. (one) 1

In reply to No. 5256 Ex: 169, dated 6/9th December 1895.

SUBJECT

Returns the Petition of Krishna Row, Kayem-guttadar of Mendde-neralikere in the Maddagiri Taluk, and invites attention to this office letter No. 2497/233, dated 9th/12 December 1895.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Exse
M 14/12/95

Excise Commissioner's Office

C.P. 2543 / 243 (21)

Bangalore, 11th December 1895.

From,

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In returning the Petition of Krishna Row, Kayenguttadar of Muddenerale Kere, Maddagiri Taluk, received with your docket No. 5256 / 4:169, dated 6th / 9th instant, I have the honor to invite attention to my letter No. 2497/233, dated 9th / 12th instant, with which I have submitted the record of enquiry in re the Kayenguttadar's claim for Tarkly Revenue.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ricketts

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

S. No: 114. Enclosure to

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REC'D. No. 1819 R
DATE 18-11-95
1819

[illegible]
16/11/95

ಮೈಸೂರ್ ದೊರೆಗಳ [illegible]
ರಾಜ್ಯಭಾರದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ದಿವಾನ್
ಸಾಹೇಬ ಬಹದ್ದೂರವರ ಹುಜೂರ್
ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ

ಸನ್ 1895 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ ತಾರೀಖು 12 [illegible]

[illegible]

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು- ಕಂಪನಿ ಹಿರಿಯ- ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ
ಆಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಕಛೇರಿ ನನಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಹೇಗೆಂದರೆ
ಮಲ್ಲಸಂದ್ರ ಹಿರಿಯ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ
ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್.ಎಲ್.ಎಸ್ ರವರು 1917/18ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ
ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಪನಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟರು- ಆಗ ಅವರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲಸ
ಬಹಳ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯದ್ದಾಗಿತ್ತು- 1894ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ
ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ 18ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಅವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಬಿಟ್ಟ
ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಬಂದೆ- ಆಗಿನಿಂದ
ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಪತ್ರಗಳ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಿತ್ತು-
1895ನೇ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ 17ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೂ ನಾನು
ಆ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದೆ- ಆಮೇಲೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಆಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂತು
ಆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನನಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ
ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಆಗ ನಾನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿ ಸುಮಾರು
ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷವಾಗಿತ್ತು- ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನನಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ- ಆದರೆ
ಆಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಮೀರುವಂತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ- ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು
ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾಲಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾಯಿತು- ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು


TUMKUR
TUMKUR
R
TUMKUR

Excise.]

(13)

1896

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. Gl. no. 6456 EX 347 21 FEB. 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE.

SECTION S R

FILE NO. 27-9

SER'AL. NO. 11

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore, Revenue and General Depts

Dated 23rd
Despatched 25th } February 1896.
Received

General No. 3370
No. 337
Enclosure No. 2.

In ~~reply to~~ continuation of this office letter No. 2543/243, dated 11th December/95.

SUBJECT

Submits copy of the Summud of Inddanerlikere Koyengootha village Inaddagire taluk and other papers, and states that the Koyengouttadar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy under the Summud.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Excise Br.
25/2/96

1896

No. 3370/337 Enc: 2

35

Excise Commissioners Office

Bangalore 23rd/25 February 1896.

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No: 2543/2431 dated 11th December 1895, — I have the honor to submit, herewith copy of docket No: 1105, dated 20th/21 instant from the Deputy Commissioner, — Tumkur District, together with a copy of the Summd of Gnddanerlikere — Koyengotta village, and also the Petition with its enclosures received from Krishna Row, Koyengootha dar of

of the above village, and to state that, as Toddy Contract amount was included in the assets of the Beriz of 55 pagodas and 1 fanam of the village in the year of its grant, the Koyenguttadar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy, in

~~[illegible]~~ Nov. 30

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Ser

S Ricketts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

&c

Enclosure to No 11
37
1895-96

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st } February 1896
Received

General No:

Inis No: 1105

His docket No: 2854/46 of the 15th January 1896.

— . —

Has the honor to state that the actual beriz of the Kayemgutta village of Inddanirlakere in the year of its grant, viz Virodhi (1829-30) was 55 pagodas and 1 fanam as detailed

Includes 6 fanams on account of Toddy shop

Rafadiyar

O.	F.			
16	9½	Land Revenue		
"	2	Canarese		
1	2	Tuluvaguttu		
"	6	Iron ore Gutta		
"	2	Hullani		
1	0	Shop tax		
3	"	Toddy Gutta		
23	1-½	Batanji total		
31	9½		1	
55				

O — F.
23 1½

detailed in the margin. The Sum of 69 pagodas and 5 fanams alluded to in the docket under reply, includes the addition of 14 pagodas and 4 fanams made when fining the Shraya previous to granting the village on Kayengutta, as explained in the sanad.

(Sd) Venkata Row

For Offg: Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Ricketts

Ceuse Commissioner

in Guntoor

(ب) مقدمہ (ب) میں مدعا علیہ کے خلاف ڈگری کی تعمیل میں مدعی کی درخواست پر
مدعا علیہ کی جائیداد کی قرقی کا حکم دیا گیا۔ مدعا علیہ نے اس حکم کے خلاف
اپیل دائر کی جو خارج ہو گئی۔ اس کے بعد مدعا علیہ نے ہائی کورٹ میں
نظر ثانی کی درخواست دائر کی جو منظور ہو گئی۔ ہائی کورٹ نے
مدعا علیہ کی جائیداد کی قرقی کا حکم منسوخ کر دیا۔ مدعی نے
ہائی کورٹ کے اس حکم کے خلاف سپریم کورٹ میں اپیل دائر کی
جو منظور ہو گئی۔ سپریم کورٹ نے ہائی کورٹ کا حکم منسوخ کر
دیا اور مدعا علیہ کی جائیداد کی قرقی کا حکم بحال کر دیا۔
مدعا علیہ نے سپریم کورٹ کے اس حکم کے خلاف نظر ثانی
کی درخواست دائر کی جو خارج ہو گئی۔ اس کے بعد مدعا علیہ
نے ایک اور درخواست دائر کی جس میں اس نے
عدالت سے استدعا کی کہ اس کی جائیداد کی قرقی
کا حکم منسوخ کر دیا جائے۔ عدالت نے اس
درخواست کو بھی خارج کر دیا۔

مدعا علیہ کی طرف سے
ایڈووکیٹ

Enclosure 10/1

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਨਾਨਕ ਦੇਵ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਅੰਗਦ ਦੇਵ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਅਮਰ ਦਾਸ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਰਾਮ ਦਾਸ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਅਰਜਨ ਦੇਵ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਹਰਿ ਗੋਬਿੰਦ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਹਰਿ ਰਾਇ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਹਰਿ ਕ੍ਰਿਸ਼ਨ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਤੇਗ ਬਹਾਦਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਗੋਬਿੰਦ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰੂ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਦਿਵਸ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਮੂਹ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੱਖ ਲੱਖ ਵਧਾਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜੀ

Mysore

Revenue Commissioner

L Wickets

Copy"

Revenue Department

Revenue Department

(17)

SECTION

FILE No. 24

SERIAL No. 12

42

1898

No. 2531
Ex 398

Name of Petitioner Krishnaraya Inamdar of

Village Maddaneralekere

Taluk Madagere

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 11-4-98

Date of Receipt 13-4-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1

Suit

Petn by an Inamdar against order of the Dy Comr (Confirmed his petn) in forming him that the balance of realised amount collected from the Sendi Shops of his village cannot be granted as prayed for

undisputed

In time

15/4/98

I dare say there are refs in the Excise Branch

= to Excise Branch 14/16/4/98

29-4-98

Submitted Please put up the Statement showing the Sendi Income for 3 years

20/4/98

Ex. No. 1632-12-, realised in the Inam village for the 3 years ending 31st March 95, Rs 1644/- have been paid upto 19th May 1897

Submitted for orders as to whether this may be placed for disposal of the Secretary of State or sent to the Excise Comr for reference to the actual amount realized & advised to the Inamdar

Rs. 1644/- 1895-96-97-

Submitted for orders

22/4/98

Inamdar's petition dated 11th April 98 praying for grant of the balance of the amount realised from the Sendi Shops of his village

ABSTRACT

This is an appe against order no 114 7-4-98 of the Dy. Com stating that no addit amount can be gra (to the petitioner)

The petitioner stat as follows—

That out Rs 1020 the income of the Sendi shop of the above vill for years 1895/1896 189 and 1897 he was gra only Rs 400, though he submitted 12 petitio to the Dy. Comr duri

the interval between September 1897 and March 1898.

That on 6-4-98 he requested the Dy. Comr to pay him Rs 600 - Rs 6-8-0 (the latter sum being the amount realized by the sale of Govt. securities) in accordance with the Excise Comr's Docket No 4319-26/208 dt 17-4-95 received by him (the Dy. Comr).

But that the Dy. Comr gave the endorsement above referred to.

That the Dy. Comr's order states no reason for refusing the request of the petitioner.

That by withholding the balance due to the petitioner he is much troubled by his creditors.

The petitioner prays that the balance of Rs 613-8-0 may be paid to him.

Enclosure 1. The petitioner has produced his petition dt 4-4-98 to the Dy. Comr with endorsement No 11468 dt 7-4-98

Vms

4/4/98

1 to 14

Draft as per orders.

(13)

official Memorandum

No 10310 / Fu 413. 27/4/98. 27 Encl: 1.

SECTION P.R.
FILE No. 27-94
SERIAL No. 13

petition registered no 2531 dated 14th April 1898 received from Krishnaswamy mandar of Muddenerlakere, Maddagiri Taluk, appealing against the order of the Dy Commr, that no additional advance can be made on account of the toddy Revenue of his Drawkery is forwarded to the Excise Commr in Mysore for report.

"B. O."

25/4/98

for Secretary

BB
25/4/98

Refer
9/8/98
2.5.31 897

Excise Branch on

SECTION 2 (R)

FILE NO. 97-98

SERIAL NO. 11

16-8-98.

25

1898

(19)

No. 291 & 64

Name of Petitioner Krishnakon

Village Kayamputtar of Nudderi Nerlakere

Taluk Magadi Taluk Residing at

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 30-7-98

Date of Receipt 3-8-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Recd
10/8/98

Transfered to the Excise Branch on Continuation

12.8.98 Asst Secretary

4-30
13/

Submitted for orders as to whether this petition may be forwarded to the Excise Commr ~~for report~~ in continuation of this office Memo. No 10310 & Ex 413 of 27th April/98.

for report.

Dy 18/8/98.

Also inform Petr please

R 18/8/98

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petition submitted two months ago appealing against the order of the Dy. Comr. in re levy of Cess on his Kayam putta village.

Prays that orders may be issued for the disbursement of the arrears of Cess revenue of his Kayamputta village to him and that he may be excused for submitting petitions in the matter frequently which he is obliged to do through poverty.

RK
5/8/98.
R 8/8/98

No 1506/Ex 71

Draft as per order.

(20)

SECTION R.
DATE 28/8/98
FILE NO 27-94
SERIAL NO. 15

Special Memorandum

Petition Regd no 2918 30th July 1898 from Krishnacar Rayamputtadar of Muddnerekere Maddagiri Taluk, praying for early orders on his appeal petition dated 14th April 98 regarding payment of tody Revenue of his Inam village, is forwarded to the Excise Comm in continuation of this Office Memo no 10310/Ex 413 & 27th April 98 for early report.

B O
28/8/98

Dy Secretary

2 Addls

DB
22/8/98

No 1507 Dt 25/8/98.
Rn 72.

(21)

SECTION S.C.
FILE No. 27-94
SERIAL No. 16

To
The Secretary

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith a letter from the Deputy Commissioner Bellary dated 22nd August 1898 with enclosures on the subject of the Bellary Municipal Election and to request that you will be good enough to move the Government of India to issue the necessary notification under Section 20 of Act XV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1897.

I am Sir
Your obedient servant
"B O"
Secretary

Dt 25/8/98

22/8/98



Ex. 89



Gen. 30]

From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen & Revenue Dept.s

Dated 26th August 1898

Despatched 28

Received

General No. 1564

No. 79

Enclosure No. 4

In reply to Secy's Memo No: 10310 Ex 413, dated 27th April 1898.

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of communication from the Dy: Com Tumkur Dist. & states, that under the circumstances therein represented, the Karyemuttadar of Muddanayakanakere village in the Madhugiri taluk, is not entitled to the balance of Rs 752-12-0 reported to have been

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Ex Asst 31/8/98

Submitted,
If approved the petitioner may be informed that Govt. do not interfere with the order passed by the Dy Commr.

Submitted to Secretary -

Unless it be necessary to hear the Petnr, he may be given an opportunity in accordance with this letter

10/9/98

Ryot Petitioner last entry that pending final orders on the general question of Kaumbasi Sharam no fresh demand on additional Kist already paid, can be ordered to the Ryot -

Send a copy to the Commissioner

Ellen 14-9-98

10/9/98

No: 1564/79 Encl: 4

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 26th August 1898
28

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To. the Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Gen. and Rev: Departments

Sir,

In reply to your official memos Nos 10310 and 1566, dated 25th instant, Ex. 413, Dated 27th April 1898, Ex. 71, I have the honor to submit herewith, copy of letter No: 1842, dated 9/13th June 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District and to state that under the circumstances therein represented, the Kayengutteadar of Inuddanalakere village in the Madhugiri taluk, is not entitled to the balance of Rs 752-12-0, referred to by him.

2. In regard to 15 Government date trees tapped on account of the toddy shop at Inuddanerla-Kere, the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to recover reasonable amounts on account of both Tree rent and Tree tax due to Government.

3. The two original petitions of the Kayeni-guttadar addressed to Government, are herewith returned

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

5C

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District, Kampinadagere
Dated 9/13 th June 1898

Enclosure in 2

Inis No: 42
Enclosure 2.

To the Service Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No: 7527/111, dated 2nd May 1898, calling for report upon a petition from Krishnadow, Kayemguttadar of Emddonnalakere Village in the Madagere Taluk, in the matter of the Toddy Revenue of that Village, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The Kayemguttadar's right to the toddy revenue has been recognised by the Inam Department, as well as by the Deputy Commissioner as the result of the enquiry conducted by the latter in accordance with Government Proceedings No: 443-51/269, dated 11th July 1893. The Kayemguttadar is accordingly entitled to the revenue derived by the rent of the right of Vend in the toddy shops in the Kayemgutta village. The sale proceeds of the shops in question from the year 1892-93 to 1896-97 inclusive amount to Rupees 2792-12-0 as noted in the margin.

X.

margin. Out of this amount Rupees 2040, have been advanced ——— to the Kayenguttadar in accordance with Govt. Nos: Nos 9288/280 and 2146-55/Ex 79 dated 8th April 1896 and 24th August 1897, respectively, leaving a balance of Rs 752-12-0, the payment of which he now claims.

X.

1892-93 = 596-0-0.
1893-94 = 708-12-0.
1894-95 = 528-0-0.
1895-96 = 480-0-0.
1896-97 = 480-0-0.
Total = 2792-12-0.

3 The Kayenguttha shop is supplied with toddy partly from Government Tenants and partly from trees on occupied land. As regards the latter, the renter made his own arrangements with the occupants, but paid nothing to Government for the Government trees he used. Enquiries made as regards the number of Government trees used for the supply of the Kayanguttha shop, shew that in the years 1892-93 and 1893-94, 600 and 750 Government trees respectively were tapped, that in the year 1894-95, the supply was entirely drawn from occupied land,

———————, that in the next year (1895-96.) a Government grove was set apart for the use of the shop for a rental of Rupees 1 and that in 1896-97, 163 Govt.

trees

trees were tapped. The Government are fairly entitled to a royalty for the use of the 1513 trees in Govt Vanams for the supply of the shop, in the years 1892-93, 1893-94 and 1894-95. Calculating the royalty at the low figure of annas per tree, the amount which has to be credited to Government — aggregates to Rs 567-6-0

4. In addition to this, a deduction of five per cent viz Rs 140 has to be made for expenses of management

5. It will thus be seen that the amount withheld from Petitioner is just sufficient to meet the demands on behalf of Government referred to in paras 3 and 4.

6. The petition under reference is herewith returned.

Yours &c.
(sd) Sr. Narainrow
Dy. Commissioner
Trunkur District.

Copy

Attested Sangayya
Sheristadar Commissioner
in Mysore

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2918
DATE 3-8-98

By post registered
3-8-98

ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸರ್ ಕೆ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ
ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಹಜರು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್
ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಸನ್ 1898ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ತಿಂಗಳ 30ನೇ
ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಕೆ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅವರು
ಮಹಜರು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್
ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮಹಜರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ
ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಜರು
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಸನ್ 1896-97ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಜರು
ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಕೆಲವು ಜನರಿಂದ ಸಾಲ
ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲವನ್ನು
ತೀರಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಂದ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು
ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು
ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ
ಸಮನ್ಸು ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಸಾಲಗಾರರು
ಹಾಜರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಲದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ
ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ
ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು
ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಂದ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು
ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು
ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ
ಸಮನ್ಸು ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಸಾಲಗಾರರು
ಹಾಜರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಲದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ
ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ
ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು

DIVISIONAL OFFICE
DISPOSITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D JAN 2537
DATE 13-4-98

(624)

1606 7

1160

[illegible] 7/4/96 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1894 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1895-96 [illegible]	480-00	30-00
[illegible] 1896-97 [illegible]	480-00	30-00
[illegible]	960-00	60-00

[illegible]

[illegible] 17-8-95 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (1020-00)

[illegible]

[illegible] 1895-96 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1 1 4 10 [illegible]

4317-26 [illegible]

208

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
624 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 624 [illegible] (6-8-6)
[illegible]
[illegible] (10/3-8-4) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 28-3-98 [illegible] 1085 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (400-[illegible]) [illegible]
[illegible] (613-8-4) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (613-8-4) [illegible]
[illegible]

Refer

3/9/98

25-31 9/7 Excise Branch

291/98 — Do Do

9/11/98

5/9/98

SECTION

FILE No. 2 4

SERIAL No. 18

on 16-6-98.

Transferred to the Excise Branch in Continuation

6.9.98 Asst Secretary

7/9/98

(23)

1898

Rn 10/1

No. 512

Name of Petitioner Krishna Rao, Son of Bhagavanth

Village Inamdar of Muddenealekere

Taluk Maddagiri residing

District Kolar Tumkur

Date of Arzi 29-8-98

Date of Receipt 31-8-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 4

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petns (two) praying for payment of some money due to him by Govt. relating to the proceeds of Toddy-shops in the above village

Enc.

4 letters from his creditors

2/9/98

512

MYSORE DISTRICT OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 512 R
DATE 31-8-98

By Post registered
31.8.98
mm

(26)

No 2435 dt 20/9/98
Ex-III
20.

SECTION 362.
FILE No. 27-94
SERIAL No. 19

[illegible]

[illegible] రల్లపడవ ఎక్కువగిరిజిల్లా
శిన ఎలుచుట్టి నేరళ్ళకి తరలించడంకొత్త చారాక్టర్లోగా
ఎట్లాగో ఇతరాలు వ్యక్తులు మరి —

ఎలుచుట్టి నేరళ్ళకి తరలించడం శక్యంకా
ని ఓట్లు తర్లు ట్లర్లు వళ్ళి ఎట్లాగ్గా శక్తగనిచ్చుగా చివ
శివాగి రిచుంచడం చేత్తోగలిగా చాకి ఎలా ఓల
గన్న కొండి నిశ్శబ్దంచుట్టి కేసు విచారణ
కోసం చాలరిజినల్ ఎదుట ఆగస్టు 29 న్నే ఎట్లా
మిన ఎట్లాన్నా లుదక్కే రాయిలు అఫిడవిట్లన్నా
ల కోర్టుకోర్టు ఎలా చేసే నర్వవరిచిన చారంగాడి కోసం
రిపోర్టర్ ఎన్నాడా చరిగిన్నా రినలాయిక. [illegible]
రిపోర్టర్ట్ వళ్ళకి ఇనామ్ దాక్షులుగళ్ళతో ఇరవక్క
చేతిన ఓట్లు ఎ[illegible] భారద్దుల్లా మిస్త్రీకర్ణ ఎట్లాగ
ఎగ్గు చేశారు, శక్తగనిచ్చుగా లచిన్నగా శక్తి ట్లు
రువ ఎట్లాగా నాంచాల్ ఇన్నాళ్ళకి కొట్టి
ఎట్లాగా న కొండినేలు అచ్చే రంగాడుచున్న
శక్తి కావ చిల్లా - శిష్యులు ఎవరు —

ఇట్లు 20 న్నే సెప్టెంబరు 1898. ఇట్లాగ్గా

By Order

N
Secretary

Copy forwarded to Excise Commr
with reference to his No: 1564 dt 26th
August 1898.

By Order
N
Secretary

2436 — 20/9/98
Ex-112

1907/900 - [illegible]

14-7-[illegible]

Ext from 34

(44)

190

SECTION

FILE No. [illegible]

SER'AL No. 201

No. 135

Name of Petitioner Kushnakao

Village Muddanaralekere

Taluk Waddagere

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 11/7/1902

Date of Receipt 12/7/1902

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

(135)

21-7-02

Sub

May this be sent to the Excise Branch in connection

23-7-02

Rec 30/7/02

28/7/02

4723/95

Submitted with a draft

31/7/02

ABSTRACT

Petitioner prays that the arrears of toddy revenue as an inam due be paid to him soon, as he has only half finished the repairs of his tank and cannot complete it for want of funds.

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

Petitioner points out that on March 6, 1902 Mr Paramasiva Iyer on an inquiry ordered that the matter will be reported to the higher authorities (for sanction), and that he has not yet received any endorse-ment.

Besides the petitioner says is troubled by miscre-ditors

SECTION C.R.
FILE No. 27-94
SERIAL No. 21

(42)

No 120/4723.95 Kegalle
dy 23/8/00
To Uderaine Corner

In forwarding herewith petn. of
no 135/434 of 11/Nov from
Kaslanabas, Inamdar
of Dandoruwalakare
village Maddegiri
Taluk, Tumkur Dist.
praying that the
arrears of the toddy
revenue of the Inam
village due to him
may be ordered to be
paid to him early.
I have the honor to
request that the
record of enquiry
referred to by the
petitioner may be
submitted to Court at

an early date
with liaison of simon
Hemeon.

B.C.
31/7/02
Forbes

KG
30/7/02.

SECTION 802.

FILE No. 27-94

SERIAL No. 22

1. [illegible]

2. [illegible] has not been produced.

May this be sent to the Excise Branch.

802
22-11-02

Read
25/11/02

4723/95

4126/95/Mor

1902-03

No. 1354

Name of Petitioner Krishna Rao Inamdar of

Village Hiddanerale Kere

Taluk Haddagiri

District Tumkur.

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 13-11-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two.

ABSTRACT

Complains that the m of Excise revenue of his Inam village is pending settlement for a very long time, and prays that either his share of the Excise revenue of his Inam village may be soon settled so as to enable him to satisfy the urgent demands of his creditors, or, pending the settlement of such share, an advance of

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

Rs. 1000/- may be granted
as had once been done
on a similar occasion
before

Enclosures are letters written
to Petr. by his creditors

FWS.
25/11/02.

72.



No 253 Ex-Mc
Ex-7-23-95 (44)
Dy 27/Mon
To
The Revenue Commr
in Mysore

In continuation of
this office memo. no
120
Ex-7-23-95 dy 23/8/95 I have
the honor to forward
herewith for favour
of early perusal
letter no. 1354
Ex 126 dy
13th Novr 95 from
Krishna Rao Inamdar
of Bheddanelakere
village, Nandagini
Taluk, praying for
the early disburse-
ment to him of his
late Zoddy revenue of the
village or for the

the grant of an
advance of Rs 1000/-
(under the debit
ment of the amount
due to him.

Rs.

24/11/50

Forwarded

Three
2/11/50

Ex. 143

6636.
13 DEC. 1902

45

190

SECTION Jr

FILE No. 27-94

SERIAL No. 24

From P.N.Purnaiya Esquire, B.A.

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To H.V.Nunjundaya Esquire M.A.M.L

Secretary to Government

Genl.& Rev.Departments

Dated 10th December 1902.

Despatched 11—12—02

Received

General No. 3506

No. 142

Enclosure No. 1 & 2 files

Forwards with opinion copy of the Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's communication and its enclosures as regards the claim of the Kayamguthadar of Muddanerlakere village in the Madda-giri taluk to Excise Revenue from Toddy.

Ex cm
13-12-02

V/12

253 — d 27.4.02 2nd Cons.

Ex.7.23-95

No: 3506/142 Enc: 1+2 files.

Excise Commissioner's office

Bangalore, dated 10th December 02.

11

From

P.N.Purnaiya Esquire, B.A.

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

H.V.Nunjundaya Esquire, M.A.M.L.

Secretary to the Government

Genel. and Rev. Departments

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.3370/337, dated 23rd February 1896, and with reference to your docket No.785/M, dated 8th instant, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's docket No. 760/M, dated 4th December 1902, together with its enclosures, and to state that I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Kayamguthadar of Muddanerlakere village in the Maddagiri taluk, is entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy. The village has a toddy shop, but has no date groves. Accordingly the Kayamguthadar is entitled to payments of Excise Revenue from Toddy under the several systems from the year 1892-93, subject to Rules 2,3,5,6 and 12 in Government Proceedings No.2074-97/ R.F.166-98, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

76

From. Enclosure 1881 Ry

Mr. S. Narayan Rao. Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To.

P. N. Purnaiya Esqr.

B. A.

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 4/7 December 1902

No. 760.

Enclosure. 3 Files and 2 State-
-ments.

In retransmitting herewith
the record of enquiry and its en-
-closures relating to the Toddy
revenue of the Muddenihalsee
village, Madagiri Taluk, re-
-ceived with his No 1752/52 dated
26th September 1902, has the honor
to forward herewith an extract
from the Quit-rent Register
and a statement of calcu-
-lation of toddy revenue
as-arable

payable to the Inamdar. The present Kayamgutadar Krishna Rao is the son of Bhagavanta Rao named in the Quit-rent Register.

2. The amount due to the Kayam-gutadar has been wrongly calculated at Rs 4141-12-9 while the actual amount is Rs 4201-12-10 as per calculation table

3. The Inam Commissioner has fully recognised the title of the Kayamgutadar to the toddy revenue of the village in the settlement Register under date 7th June 1894. The certified extracts produced by the Kayam gutadar also clearly show that the Sendi Gutige amount was included in the

the assets of the Village and the Jkayamgutta amount was fixed with reference to the total Beriz of the Village. These Chittas are forwarded herewith for reference and favour of return when no longer required

(s.d) M. S. Narayan Rao.
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Enclosure to 8 (4)

Memo of decision passed in re the Claim of the Jodidars of the below noted Village to the Toddy Revenue thereof:-

Thoodde Nerlkere Kazanigutta

Order

Though the title deed does not Expressly concede Excise Revenue the Berigthan and long Enjoyment and the recognition of the Inamdars right by the Inam Superintendent prove beyond doubt that Krishna Rao is Entitled to Excise Revenue. During the years 1897-98 to 1900-1901 the Revenue from the Inam shop was £310 Rs 12 as.- Adding to this the tree tax on trees tapped for this shop in Government groves during 1898-99 to 1900-1901 and

declining tree rent due to
Government on trees tapped
for the shop together with six
per cent for Establishment charges,
the ~~Rs 41-11-9~~ amount due to
the Inamdar up to the end
1900-1901 is Rs 4201-12-0 of which
2846 Rs have been advanced
I award him the balance
of Rs 1355-12-0 up to end of 1900-1901
and the Shop Tax for the future.

6-3-1902 S/d. T. Purnanandam Iyer
General Asst. Commr.

I Concur. The Inamdar is entitled
to Excise Revenue in future

Signed B.G. Krishnaswamy
19-3-1902 } Dy Commissioner

Copy

Asst. Commr.

for Dy Commissioner

bjs.

A Calendar of claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by the General Assistant Commissioner Dunkur District

Name of Taluq	Name of Hobli or Sammatha	Name of Sarvadikari or Kagamgutta	Name of Inamdar or Jodidar or Kagamgutta	Documents relied upon	Witnesses Examined	Finding	amount awarded if any	
Naddagiri	Ittige dibbanahalli	Mundle Nerlkere Kagamgutta	Krishna Rao	The original Sanad and other mutchalikas	Nil	Entitled to Excise Revenue	129 8 1/2	9 The Inam superintendent has recognized the Inamdars hak to Toddy Revenue and the Inam conclusions proved his Enjoyment of the same.

Camp Naddagiri
6th March 1902

Signed J. Parsana Siva Rao
General Asst Commr

Examined
Copy
Asst Commr

5 — Enclosure to No 84

Reference
Arzi
17-2-03

1879 of 2-
1354 do. of

17-2-03

SECTION 8R

FILE NO. 27-964

SERIAL NO. 25

24-11-[illegible]

Ex 326
19-2-03

1903.

No. 2024

Name of Petitioner Keshavan

Village Jodi Muddenahalli

Taluk Maddagiri

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 12-2-03

Date of Receipt 13-2-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Transferred to the Excise Branch in continuation.

dd
18-2-03.

19/2

Draft-Ruled

Issue
19/2/03

ABSTRACT

Reminder to the petition submitted on 27/1/03 with the Excise Commissioner's Office in connection with his request to toddy revenue in the said Jodi Village.

Prays for final orders on the matter and for the payment of remaining toddy revenue to which the petitioner is entitled.

Nil
17-2-03

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

By post registered
13-2-03

To



The Dewan of Mysore
in Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

2024

I had put in a petition to your honor's Kind Consideration on 27 January 1903, with the Endorsement - received from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Stating that the records - connected with my toddy right in my Jodi village of Muddenenalekere, have been submitted to your honor for final orders, to which I have not received any reply till now. As I have been put under much difficulty, I humbly & earnestly beg your honor to be good enough to peruse the above records and pass final orders at your earliest convenience and thus save me the Remaining

remaining to dues, revenue to which
I am entitled.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient humble servant

12-2-1903 Jodidar, Muddeverdekere
Maddagiri Taluk,
Tumkur District.



Draft as per order

(46)

Read:—

Letter no 3506/42
dt 16th December 1902
from the Revenue Commr
in Mysore forwarding
with reference to
Govt. order no 20/483
R.A.166-97
dt 21/8/01, on the claim
of the Kayamgutteday
of Muddanalakere
village in the
Maddagiri Taluk,
Tumkur District,
and submitting the
the record of the
Case

Order thereon:—

no 335-7
E.T.23-95
dt 16/2/03

In support of
his claim the Kayame-
gutteday has produced

Certified Copies of
Muttuvaligitty Vs
for the years 1814, 1816,
1819, 1823 & 1829 which
shew that the Shendi
Guttige amount
was included in the
assets of the village,
with reference to
which the Kayque
Gutta amount is
said to have been
fixed when the village
was alienated in
the year 1829. The
Insane defendant
was also represented
~~and certain Mudaliars~~
examined by the Lodge
Contractors in proof
of his long enjoyment
~~of the revenue~~. The
Insane defendant
was also represented

the weight - The
Asstt Comm who
held the enquiry
& the Dy Comm, are
satisfied that the
Claim is fully
established. The
Ec. Comm concurs
with them & states
that the Kayagutton
is entitled to the
excise remission from
toddy under the
several ~~Rules~~ systems from
1892-93 subject to
rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12
of the rules issued
with the Govt. Order
of the 2nd August of
1892 to above -
Govt Concur with
these officers & hold
that the Kayagutton
is entitled to the
excise remission from
toddy and direct

~~Mr. The accused~~,

~~Rue la Rue Beaudoin~~

~~Le fait~~ -

Chacun infraction

est telle ou telle -

Ordre des Rue Beaudoin & Cie

14/2

14/2/03

Le témoin

2o Une Cour pénale

prévoit

Une Cour d'assises -

Une loi Romer - Jeunken -

Rue

9.2.03.

Print GL

Subd to test for appl GL

14/2/03

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 16th February 1903

No. 335-7 / Ex. F. 23-95

Passing orders on the claim of the Kayamguttadar of Muddanerlakere village, Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District, to the toddy revenue of the village.

SBP—19-2-03—50

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 16th February 1903.

READ—

Letter No. 3506—142, dated 10th December 1902, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, reporting with reference to Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, on the claim of the Kayamguttadar of Muddanerlakere village in the Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District, and submitting the record of the case.

No. 335-7—Ex. F. 23-95, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH FEBRUARY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—In support of his claim, the Kayamguttadar has produced certified copies of Huttuvali chittas for the years 1814, 1816, 1819, 1823 and 1829, which show that the shendi guttige amount was included in the assets of the village, with reference to which the kayamgutta amount is said to have been fixed when the village was alienated in the year 1829. The Inam Department has also recognised the right. The Assistant Commissioner who held the enquiry and the Deputy Commissioner are satisfied that the claim is fully established. The Excise Commissioner concurs with them and states that the Kayamguttadar is entitled to the excise revenue from toddy under the several systems from 1892-93, subject to Rules 2, 3, 5, 6, and 12 of the rules issued with the Government Order of the 2nd August 1901 referred to above. Government concur with these officers and hold that the claim is fully established.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the record.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Exd.—J. T. R.

SECTION 36.

FILE No. 27-

SERIAL No. 27

No 363 / Ex 723-95 Dt 24/2/03

Endorsement

With reference to his Petn. regd no 2024 / E.326 dated 13th February 1903 praying for early orders on his claim to the toddy revenue of the Meddanuela Vari village, Maddagiri Taluk, Krishna Rao. Joidar, is informed that final orders admitting his claim having already been issued by Govt., he should apply to the Excise Commissioner for further action.

B.C. 23/2/03

Enter in the draft the purport of the order passed in the case. [illegible] 20/2/03

[illegible] omission supplied & [illegible]

[illegible] 23/2/03

No 364
E.7.23-95

Copy forwarded
to the Excise Comr
in Mysore in
Continuation
of C. O. No 335-7
E7.23.95
of 16/2/95

Rec.
23/2/95
for Secy

18. From. The petitioner Trustmakers

petn No 512 Dt 31-8-98.

Reminds of his previous petn.

19. To The petr Krishna Rao

No 2435 / Ex III dt 20.9.98

States that he cannot be given more money in advance in addition to the advance taken by him

20. To The Ex Commr No 2436 / Ex III dt 20.9.98

Forwards copy of the above

20 From. The petr Krishna Rao. Pet No 135. Ex-34 dated 12th July 1902.

Prays that the arrears of Toddy revenue as an Inamdar be paid to him as he has only half finished the repairs of the tank and he can't complete it for want of funds.

21. To. The Excise commr. No 1120 / Ext. 23.95 dt 23.8.02.

Requests that the records of enquiry refd to by the petr may be subd to Govt with his opinion

22 From. The Petr Krishna Rao. Pet No 1354 Dy No Ex 196 dt 13-12-02

Prays either for an advance Rs 1000 or his share of the Excise Rev of his Inam village may be settled

23. To. The Excise Commr No 253 dt 27-11-02

Forwards the above for report with his opinion.

24. From the Excise Commr No 6636 / Ex 148 & No 3506 / 162 dt 4.12.02

Forwards Copy of the Tumkur Dist Dy Commrs communication its enclosures re- the claim of the petitioner

25. From. Krishna Rao Dy No Ex 326. Petn 2024. dt 13.2.03.

Reminds of his previous petition.

26 To. The Ex Commr (2) The Comptr (3) The Dy Comr Tumkur Dist No 335-7 / Ex F 23.95 dt 16-2-03. passes orders on the claim of the Karyam Sutteadar of Muddenahalli to the Toddy Revenue village

27. To the petr Krishna Rao No 363 dt 24.2.03.

Informs him the above order

To. The Ex. Comm. [illegible] dt 24.2.03 forwards